

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA**

NAUTILUS INSURANCE COMPANY,

Plaintiff,

v.

RICHARD ALEXANDER MURDAUGH,
Sr., CORY FLEMING, MOSS & KUHN,
P.A., CHAD WESTENDORF, PALMETTO
STATE BANK, and THE UNITED STATES
OF AMERICA,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:22-cv-1307-RMG

**NAUTILUS' NOTICE OF
VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL OF
DEFENDANT THE UNITED STATES
OF AMERICA**

In light of this Court's May 12, 2022 order [ECF 14], and with the consent of all parties, Nautilus Insurance Company hereby files this notice of voluntary dismissal of Defendant the United States of America *only*, pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(i).¹

Respectfully submitted:

This 9th day of June, 2022
Charleston, South Carolina

/s/ Jaan Rannik

EPTING & RANNIK, LLC

Jaan G. Rannik (Fed I.D. No. 12621)

46A State Street

Charleston, SC 29401

P: (843) 377-1871

F: (843) 377-1310

jgr@epting-law.com

COUNSEL FOR NAUTILUS INSURANCE COMPANY

¹ The majority of courts, with the exception of the Second and Sixth Circuits, have held that Rule 41(a) permits dismissal of a party defendant without dismissal of the entire action. There appears to be no binding authority on the question from the Fourth Circuit. Accordingly, if this is more properly brought as a motion under Rule 21, Nautilus asks that the Court treat it as such.